

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,766	10/30/2003		Tatsuo Suzuki	2003_1580A	6922
513	7590	11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
WENDERC	•	LEITH, PATRICIA A			
2033 K STRI SUITE 800	EET N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				1655	
				DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/695,766	SUZUKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patricia Leith	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  he mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	eptember 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-15,17 and 19-28 is/are pending	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-15,17 and 19-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,13,14,19,20,27 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 5, 7-12, 15, 17 and 21-26 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 3, 5-15, 17 and 19-28 are pending in the application.

Claims 5, 6,13,14,19,20,27 and 28 were withdrawn from the merits as being directed toward a non-elected invention in the Office Action of 06/06/05.

Claims 1, 3, 7-12, 15, 17 and 21-26 were examined on their merits.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Page 3

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3, 7-12, 15, 17 and 21-26 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/339,061, claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/915,420, claims 1-6 and 9-13 of copending Application No. 10/915,419, claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/915,402 and claims 1-6 and 8-13 of copending Application No. 10/695,762 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/915,420, claims 1-6 and 9-13 of copending Application No. 10/915,419, claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/915,420 and claims 1-6 and 8-13 of copending

Art Unit: 1655

Application No. 10/695,762 'anticipate' claims 1, 3, 7-12, 15, 17 and 21-26 in that the scope of Instant claims 1, 3, 7-12, 15, 17 and 21-26 fall completely within the scope of claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/339,061, claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/915,420, claims 1-6 and 9-13 of copending Application No. 10/915,419, claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/915,402 and claims 1-6 and 8-13 of copending Application No. 10/695,762.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3, 7-12, 15, 17 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

All of these claims are directed toward naturally-occurring fungi. In order to overcome this rejection, prior to any instance of 'Tricholoma' there should be a

Art Unit: 1655

statement reading; 'a biologically pure culture of' preceding 'Tricholoma' in the independent claims. Correction is necessary.

This rejection has be reinstated because Applicant has deleted the statement that read 'a biologically pure culture of'. Although Applicant has complied with the biological deposit requirements, the deposited strain of Tricholoma is still a living organism and absent any 'hand of man' remains non-statutory subject matter.

Claims are free of the art.

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,766

Art Unit: 1655

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia Leith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

Jakrein furt

November 20, 2006